

Multiple closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler n -spheres

Huagui Duan^{1,*} Yiming Long^{1,2†}

¹ Chern Institute of Mathematics

² Key Lab of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics of Ministry of Education

Nankai University, Tianjin 300071

The People's Republic of China

Abstract

In this paper we prove that for every bumpy Finsler metric F on every rationally homological n -dimensional sphere S^n with $n \geq 2$, there exist always at least two distinct prime closed geodesics.

1 Introduction and the main result

Let us recall firstly the definition of the Finsler metric.

Definition 1.1 (cf. [BCS1] and [She1]) *Let M be a finite dimensional manifold and TM be its tangent bundle. A function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Finsler metric if it satisfies the following properties:*

(F₁) F is C^∞ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

(F₂) $F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in TM$.

(F₃) For any $y \in TM \setminus \{0\}$, the symmetric bilinear form g_y on TM is positive definite, where

$$g_y(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} [F^2(y + su + tv)]|_{s=t=0}.$$

The pair (M, F) is called a Finsler manifold. A Finsler metric F is reversible if $F(-v) = F(v)$ for all $v \in TM$.

*Partially supported by NNSF and RFDP of MOE of China. E-mail: duanhuagui@163.com

†Partially supported by the 973 Program of MOST, Yangzi River Professorship, NNSF, MCME, RFDP, LPMC of MOE of China, S. S. Chern Foundation, and Nankai University. E-mail: longym@nankai.edu.cn

For the definition of closed geodesics on a Finsler manifold, we refer readers to [BCS1] and [She1]. As usual, on any Finsler manifold $M = (M, F)$ a closed geodesic $c : S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow M$ is *prime*, if it is not a multiple covering (i.e., iteration) of any other closed geodesics. Here the m -th iteration c^m of c is defined by $c^m(t) = c(mt)$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$. The inverse curve c^{-1} of c is defined by $c^{-1}(t) = c(1 - t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. We call two prime closed geodesics c and d *distinct* if there is no $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $c(t) = d(t + \theta)$. We shall omit the word "distinct" for short when we talk about more than one prime closed geodesics. A closed geodesic c on (M, F) is non-degenerate, if its linearized Poincaré map P_c has no eigenvalue 1. A Finsler metric F on M is *bumpy* if all closed geodesics and their iterates on (M, F) are non-degenerate.

In recent years, geodesics and closed geodesics on Finsler manifolds have got more attentions. We refer readers to [BRS1] of D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, [Rob1] of C. Robles, and [Lon3] of Y. Long and the references therein for recent progress in this area.

Note that by the classical theorem of Lyusternik-Fet [LyF1] in 1951, there exists at least one closed geodesic on every compact Riemannian manifold. Because the proof is variational, this result works also for compact Finsler manifolds. In [Rad3] of 2005, H.-B. Rademacher obtained existence of closed geodesics on n -dimensional Finsler spheres under pinching conditions which generalizes results in [BTZ1] and [BTZ2] of W. Ballmann, W. Thorbergsson and W. Ziller in 1982-83 on Riemannian manifolds.

We are only aware of a few results on the existence of multiple closed geodesics on Finsler spheres without pinching conditions. In [Fet1] of 1965, A. I. Fet proved that there exist at least two distinct closed geodesics on every reversible bumpy Finsler manifold (M, F) . In [Rad1] of 1989, H.-B. Rademacher proved that there exist at least two elliptic closed geodesics on every bumpy Finsler 2-sphere. In [HWZ1] of 2003, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder proved that there exist either two or infinitely many distinct closed geodesics on every bumpy Finsler 2-sphere if the stable and unstable manifolds of every hyperbolic closed geodesics intersect transversally. In [BaL1] of 2005, V. Bangert and Y. Long proved that there exist at least two distinct prime closed geodesics on every Finsler 2-sphere (S^2, F) .

The aim of this paper is to prove the following main result, specially for bumpy irreversible Finsler rationally homological n -spheres without pinching conditions.

Theorem 1.2. *For every bumpy Finsler metric F on every rationally homological n -sphere S^n with $n \geq 2$, there exist at least two distinct prime closed geodesics.*

Note that our proof of Theorem 1.2 uses only the $\mathbf{Q}$ -homological properties of the Finsler manifold, thus we shall carry out our proof of this theorem below just for n -dimensional spheres.

In this paper, let $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{N}_0$, $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ denote the sets of positive integers, non-negative integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. We denote by $[a] = \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid k \leq a\}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$. We use only singular homology modules with $\mathbf{Q}$ -coefficients.

2 Critical modules of iterations of closed geodesics

Let $M = (M, F)$ be a compact Finsler manifold (M, F) , the space $\Lambda = \Lambda M$ of H^1 -maps $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow M$ has a natural structure of Riemannian Hilbert manifolds on which the group $S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ acts continuously by isometries, cf. [Kli2], Chapters 1 and 2. This action is defined by $(s \cdot \gamma)(t) = \gamma(t+s)$ for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $s, t \in S^1$. For any $\gamma \in \Lambda$, the energy functional is defined by

$$E(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^1} F(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))^2 dt. \quad (2.1)$$

It is of class $C^{1,1}$ (cf. [Mer1]) and invariant under the S^1 -action. The critical points of E of positive energies are precisely the closed geodesics $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow M$. The index form of the functional E is well defined along any closed geodesic c on M , which we denote by $E''(c)$ (cf. [She1]). As usual, we denote by $i(c)$ and $\nu(c)$ the Morse index and nullity of E at c . In the following, we denote by

$$\Lambda^\kappa = \{d \in \Lambda \mid E(d) \leq \kappa\}, \quad \Lambda^{\kappa-} = \{d \in \Lambda \mid E(d) < \kappa\}, \quad \forall \kappa \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

For $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we denote the m -fold iteration map $\phi_m : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ by $\phi_m(\gamma)(t) = \gamma(mt)$, for all $\gamma \in \Lambda, t \in S^1$, as well as $\gamma^m = \phi_m(\gamma)$. For a closed geodesic c , recall that the mean index $\hat{i}(c)$ is defined by

$$\hat{i}(c) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i(c^m)}{m}. \quad (2.3)$$

If $\gamma \in \Lambda$ is not constant then the multiplicity $m(\gamma)$ of γ is the order of the isotropy group $\{s \in S^1 \mid s \cdot \gamma = \gamma\}$. If $m(\gamma) = 1$ then γ is prime. Hence $m(\gamma) = m$ if and only if there exists a prime curve $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Lambda$ such that $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}^m$.

For a closed geodesic c we set $\Lambda(c) = \{\gamma \in \Lambda \mid E(\gamma) < E(c)\}$. If $A \subseteq \Lambda$ is invariant under the action of some subgroup Γ of S^1 , we denote by A/Γ the quotient space of A module the action of Γ .

Using singular homology with rational coefficients we will consider the following critical $\mathbf{Q}$ -module of a closed geodesic $c \in \Lambda$:

$$\overline{C}_*(E, c) = H_* \left((\Lambda(c) \cup S^1 \cdot c) / S^1, \Lambda(c) / S^1 \right). \quad (2.4)$$

In order to apply the results of D. Gromoll and W. Meyer in [GrM1] and [GrM2], following [Rad2], Section 6.2, we introduce finite-dimensional approximations to Λ . We choose an arbitrary

energy value $a > 0$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that every geodesic segment of length $< \sqrt{2a/k}$ is minimal.

Then

$$\Lambda(k, a) = \left\{ \gamma \in \Lambda \mid E(\gamma) < a \text{ and } \gamma|_{[i/k, (i+1)/k]} \text{ is a geodesic segment for } i = 0, \dots, k-1 \right\}$$

is a $(k \cdot \dim M)$ -dimensional submanifold of Λ consisting of closed geodesic polygons with k vertices. The set $\Lambda(k, a)$ is invariant under the action of the subgroup $\mathbf{Z}_k$ of S^1 . Closed geodesics in $\Lambda^{a-} = \{\gamma \in \Lambda \mid E(\gamma) < a\}$ are precisely the critical points of $E|_{\Lambda(k, a)}$, and for every closed geodesic $c \in \Lambda(k, a)$ the index of $(E|_{\Lambda(k, a)})''(c)$ equals $i(c)$ and the null space of $(E|_{\Lambda(k, a)})''(c)$ coincides with the nullspace of $E''(c)$, cf. [Rad2], p.51.

We call a closed geodesic satisfying the isolation condition, if the following holds:

(Iso) The orbit $S^1 \cdot c^m$ is an isolated critical orbit of E for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

Since our aim is to prove the existence of more than one closed geodesic for every bumpy Finsler metric on S^n , the condition **(Iso)** does not restrict generality.

Now we can apply the results by D. Gromoll and W. Meyer [GrM1] to a given closed geodesic c satisfying (Iso). If $m = m(c)$ is the multiplicity of c , we choose a finite-dimensional approximation $\Lambda(k, a) \subseteq \Lambda$ containing c such that m divides k . Then the isotropy subgroup $\mathbf{Z}_m \subseteq S^1$ of c acts on $\Lambda(k, a)$ by isometries. Recall that the $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -action is defined by $\frac{i}{m} \cdot g(t) = g(t + \frac{i}{m})$ for all $g \in \Lambda(k, a)$ and $\frac{i}{m} \in \mathbf{Z}_m$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let D be a $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -invariant local hypersurface transverse to $S^1 \cdot c$ in $c \in \Lambda(k, a)$. Such a D can be obtained by applying the exponential map of $\Lambda(k, a)$ at c to the normal space to $S^1 \cdot c$ at c . We denote by

$$T_c D = V_+ \oplus V_- \oplus V_0, \tag{2.5}$$

the orthogonal decomposition of $T_c D$ into the positive, negative and null eigenspace of the endomorphism of $T_c D$ associated to $(E|_D)''(c)$ by the Riemannian metric. In particular, we have $\dim V_- = i(c)$ and $\dim V_0 = \nu(c)$. According to [GrM1], Lemma 1, for every such a D there exist balls $B_+ \subseteq V_+$, $B_- \subseteq V_-$ and $B_0 \subseteq V_0$ centered at the origins, a diffeomorphism

$$\psi : B = B_+ \times B_- \times B_0 \rightarrow \psi(B_+ \times B_- \times B_0) \subseteq D$$

with $\psi(0) = c$, ψ_{*0} preserving the splitting (2.5), and a smooth function $f : B_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying

$$f'(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f''(0) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$E \circ \psi(x_+, x_-, x_0) = |x_+|^2 - |x_-|^2 + f(x_0), \tag{2.7}$$

for $(x_+, x_-, x_0) \in B_+ \times B_- \times B_0$. Since the $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -action is isometric and E is $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -invariant, the tangential map $(\frac{i}{m}|_D)_{*c}$ of $\frac{i}{m} \in \mathbf{Z}_m$ restricted to D at c preserves the above splitting (2.5). It

follows from the construction of ψ that ψ is equivariant with respect to the $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -action, i.e., $\frac{i}{m} \cdot \psi = \psi \circ (\frac{i}{m}|_D)_{*c}$ for $\frac{i}{m} \in \mathbf{Z}_m$, cf. p.501 of [GrM2].

As in [GrM1] and [GrM2], we call $N = \{\psi(0, 0, x_0) \mid x_0 \in B_0\}$ a local characteristic manifold at c , $U = \{\psi(0, x_-, 0) \mid x_- \in B_-\}$ a local negative disk at c . Note that N and U are $\mathbf{Z}_m$ -invariant. It follows from (2.7) that c is an isolated critical point of $E|_N$. We set $N^- = N \cap \Lambda(c)$, $U^- = U \cap \Lambda(c) = U \setminus \{c\}$ and $D^- = D \cap \Lambda(c)$. Using (2.7), the fact that c is an isolated critical point of $E|_N$, and the Künneth formula, we obtain

$$H_*(D^- \cup \{c\}, D^-) = H_*(U^- \cup \{c\}, U^-) \otimes H_*(N^- \cup \{c\}, N^-), \quad (2.8)$$

$$H_q(U^- \cup \{c\}, U^-) = H_q(U, U \setminus \{c\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}, & \text{if } q = i(c), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}, \quad (2.9)$$

cf. [Rad2], Lemma 6.4 and its proof. As in p.59 of [Rad2], for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, let respectively

$$H_*(X, A)^{\pm \mathbf{Z}_m} = \{[\xi] \in H_*(X, A) \mid T_*[\xi] = \pm[\xi]\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where T is a generator of the $\mathbf{Z}_m$ action.

Now we have the following Propositions.

Proposition 2.1. (cf. Satz 6.11 of [Rad2]) *Let c be a prime closed geodesic on a Finsler manifold (M, F) satisfying (Iso). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_q(E, c^m) &\equiv H_q\left((\Lambda(c^m) \cup S^1 \cdot c^m)/S^1, \Lambda(c^m)/S^1\right) \\ &= \left(H_{i(c^m)}(U_{c^m}^- \cup \{c^m\}, U_{c^m}^-) \otimes H_{q-i(c^m)}(N_{c^m}^- \cup \{c^m\}, N_{c^m}^-)\right)^{+\mathbf{Z}_m} \end{aligned}$$

(i) When $\nu(c^m) = 0$, there holds

$$\overline{C}_q(E, c^m) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}, & \text{if } i(c^m) - i(c) \in 2\mathbf{Z} \text{ and } q = i(c^m), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) When $\nu(c^m) > 0$, there holds

$$\overline{C}_q(E, c^m) = H_{q-i(c^m)}(N_{c^m}^- \cup \{c^m\}, N_{c^m}^-)^{\epsilon(c^m)\mathbf{Z}_m},$$

where $\epsilon(c^m) = (-1)^{i(c^m)-i(c)}$.

We need the following

Definition 2.2. (cf. [Rad2], [BaL1], [LoW1]) *Suppose c is a closed geodesic of multiplicity $m(c) = m$ satisfying (Iso). If N is a local characteristic manifold at c , $N^- = N \cap \Lambda(c)$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, we define*

$$\begin{aligned} k_j(c) &\equiv \dim H_j(N^- \cup \{c\}, N^-), \\ k_j^{\pm 1}(c) &\equiv \dim H_j(N^- \cup \{c\}, N^-)^{\pm \mathbf{Z}_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the integers $k_j(c)$ and $k_j^{\pm 1}(c)$ equal to 0 when $j < 0$ or $j > \nu(c)$, and can take only values 0 or 1 when $j = 0$ or $j = \nu(c)$.

Proposition 2.3. (cf. Satz 6.13 of [Rad2], [BaL1], [LoW1]) *Let c be a prime closed geodesic satisfying (Iso).*

- (i) *There holds $0 \leq k_j^{\pm 1}(c^m) \leq k_j(c^m)$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.*
- (ii) *For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, there hold $k_0^{\pm 1}(c^m) = k_0(c^m)$ and $k_0^{-1}(c^m) = 0$.*
- (iii) *In particular, if c^m is non-degenerate, i.e. $\nu(c^m) = 0$, then $k_0^{\pm 1}(c^m) = k_0(c^m) = 1$ and $k_0^{-1}(c^m) = 0$ hold.*

3 The structure of $H_*(\overline{\Lambda}S^n, \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n; \mathbf{Q})$

In this section, we briefly describe the relative homological structure of the quotient space $\overline{\Lambda} \equiv \overline{\Lambda}S^n = \Lambda S^n / S^1$. Here we have $\overline{\Lambda}^0 = \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n = \{\text{constant point curves in } S^n\} \cong S^n$.

Let (X, Y) be a space pair such that the Betti numbers $b_i = b_i(X, Y) = \dim H_i(X, Y; \mathbf{Q})$ are finite for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. As usual the *Poincaré series* of (X, Y) is defined by the formal power series $P(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i$. We need the following well known results on Betti numbers and the Morse inequality.

Theorem 3.1. (cf. Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.5 of [Rad1])

(i) *When $n \in 2\mathbf{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overline{\Lambda}S^n, \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n)(t) &= t^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1-t^2} + \frac{t^{2n-2}}{1-t^{2n-2}} \right) \\ &= (t^{(n-1)} + t^{(n+1)} + t^{(n+3)} + \dots) + (t^{3(n-1)} + t^{5(n-1)} + t^{7(n-1)} + \dots), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$b_q \equiv \dim H_q(\overline{\Lambda}S^n, \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } q \in \mathcal{K} \equiv \{k(n-1) \mid 3 \leq k \in (2\mathbf{N}+1)\}, \\ 1, & \text{if } q \in \{(n-1) + 2k \mid k \in \mathbf{N}_0\} \setminus \mathcal{K}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) *When $n \in (2\mathbf{N}+1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overline{\Lambda}S^n, \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n)(t) &= t^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1-t^2} + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-t^{n-1}} \right) \\ &= (t^{(n-1)} + t^{(n+1)} + t^{(n+3)} + \dots) + (t^{2(n-1)} + t^{3(n-1)} + t^{4(n-1)} + \dots), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$b_q \equiv \dim H_q(\overline{\Lambda}S^n, \overline{\Lambda}^0S^n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } q \in \mathcal{K} \equiv \{k(n-1) \mid 2 \leq k \in \mathbf{N}\}, \\ 1, & \text{if } q \in \{(n-1) + 2k \mid k \in \mathbf{N}_0\} \setminus \mathcal{K}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.2. (cf. Theorem I.4.3 of [Cha1], Theorem 6.1 of [Rad2]) *Suppose that there exist only finitely many prime closed geodesics $\{c_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ on a Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) . Set*

$$M_q = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, m \geq 1} \dim \overline{C}_q(E, c_j^m), \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then for every integer $q \geq 0$ there holds

$$M_q - M_{q-1} + \cdots + (-1)^q M_0 \geq b_q - b_{q-1} + \cdots + (-1)^q b_0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$M_q \geq b_q. \quad (3.4)$$

4 Classification of closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler manifolds

Let c be a closed geodesic on a Finsler manifold (M, F) . Denote the linearized Poincaré map of c by P_c . By [LLo1] in 2002 of C. Liu and Y. Long (cf. Chapter 12 of [Lon2]), the index iteration formulae in [Lon1] work for Morse indices of iterated closed geodesics on Riemannian as well as Finsler manifolds. We call a closed geodesic c is *completely non-degenerate*, if c^m is non-degenerate for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$. When the Finsler metric F is bumpy, every closed geodesic c on (M, F) is completely non-degenerate. Thus by Theorems 8.1.4 to 8.1.7, 8.2.3 and 8.2.4, and 8.3.1 of [Lon2], in the basic normal form decomposition of the symplectic matrix P_c (cf. Theorem 1.8.10 of [Lon2]) there can exist only basic normal forms like $H(d)$ with $d \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, $R(\theta)$ and $N(\alpha, B)$ with θ/π and α/π being irrational (cf. notation below). Therefore according to the iteration formula of Morse indices, completely non-degenerate closed geodesics on a Finsler manifold (M, F) can be classified into the following 5 cases NCG-1 to NCG-5.

To introduce this classification, we need some notations from [Lon2]. Given any two real matrices of the square block form

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}_{2i \times 2i}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}_{2j \times 2j},$$

the $\diamond$ -sum of M_1 and M_2 is defined by the $2(i+j) \times 2(i+j)$ matrix

$$M_1 \diamond M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & B_2 \\ C_1 & 0 & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For convenience, we denote by $N(\alpha, B)^{\diamond r} \equiv N(\alpha_1, B_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond N(\alpha_r, B_r)$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ and $B = (B_1, \dots, B_r)$ for some $0 \leq r \leq [\frac{n-1}{2}]$. If $r = 0$ in the following, it means that no such a term

$N(\alpha, B)^{\diamond r}$ appears. Here as in [Lon2] we set

$$N(\alpha_i, B_i) = \begin{pmatrix} R(\alpha_i) & B_i \\ 0 & R(\alpha_i) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R(\alpha_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_i & -\sin \alpha_i \\ \sin \alpha_i & \cos \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i1} & b_{i2} \\ b_{i3} & b_{i4} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i/\pi \in (0, 2) \setminus (\mathbf{Q} \cup \{1\})$, $(b_{i1}, b_{i2}, b_{i3}, b_{i4}) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We denote also $H(d) = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1/d \end{pmatrix}$ with $d \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$.

The homotopy set $\Omega(M)$ of M in the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ was studied in [Lon1] which is defined by

$$\Omega(M) = \{N \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \mid \sigma(N) \cap \mathbf{U} = \sigma(M) \cap \mathbf{U} \equiv \Gamma \text{ and } \nu_\omega(N) = \nu_\omega(M) \forall \omega \in \Gamma\},$$

where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the spectrum of M , $\nu_\omega(M) \equiv \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker_{\mathbf{C}}(M - \omega I)$ for all $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$, and $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. Let $\Omega^0(M)$ denote the path connected component of $\Omega(M)$ containing M (cf. p.38 of [Lon2]).

By Theorems 8.2.3 to 8.2.4 of [Lon2], the Morse indices of iterates of a completely non-degenerate closed geodesic c with $P_c = N(\alpha_i, B_i)$ satisfy the same formula

$$i(c) = 2p \text{ for some } p \in \mathbf{N}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad i(c^m) = 2mp, \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Hence by Theorems 8.1.4 to 8.1.7 and Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have the following classification of completely non-degenerate closed geodesics c on a Finsler n -dimensional manifold, i.e., there exists a path $f_c \in C([0, 1], \Omega^0(P_c))$ such that $f_c(0) = P_c$ and $f_c(1)$ have the following forms:

NCG-1. $f_c(1) = N(\alpha, B)^{\diamond r} \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_{n-2r-1})$.

In this case, by Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have $i(c) = 2p + (n - 2r - 1)$ for some $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $i(c) \geq 0$, and

$$i(c^m) = 2mp + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2r-1} \left\lceil \frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rceil + (n - 2r - 1), \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

NCG-2. $f_c(1) = N(\alpha, B)^{\diamond r} \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_k) \diamond H(d_{k+1}) \diamond \cdots \diamond H(d_{n-2r-1})$ with $k \in 2\mathbf{N}$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2r - 2$.

In this case, by Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have $i(c) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and

$$i(c^m) = m(p - k) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lceil \frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rceil + k, \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

NCG-3. $f_c(1) = N(\alpha, B)^{\diamond r} \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_k) \diamond H(d_{k+1}) \diamond \cdots \diamond H(d_{n-2r-1})$ with $k \in (2\mathbf{N} - 1)$ and $3 \leq k \leq n - 2r - 2$.

In this case, by Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have $i(c) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and

$$i(c^m) = m(p - k) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lceil \frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rceil + k, \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

NCG-4. $f_c(1) = N(\alpha, B)^{\circ r} \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond H(d_2) \diamond \cdots \diamond H(d_{n-2r-1})$.

In this case, by Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have $i(c) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and

$$i(c^m) = m(p - 1) + 2 \left\lceil \frac{m\theta_1}{2\pi} \right\rceil + 1, \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.4)$$

NCG-5. $f_c(1) = N(\alpha, B)^{\circ r} \diamond H(d_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond H(d_{n-2r-1})$.

In this case, by Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon2], we have $i(c) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and

$$i(c^m) = mp, \quad \nu(c^m) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.5)$$

5 A mean index identity

We need a notation from [LoW1].

Definition 5.1. Let c be a completely non-degenerate prime closed geodesic on (S^n, F) . For each $m \in \mathbf{N}$, the critical type numbers of c^m is defined by

$$K(c^m) \equiv (k_0^\epsilon(c^m), k_1^\epsilon(c^m), \dots, k_n^\epsilon(c^m)) = (k_0^\epsilon(c^m), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^m) = (-1)^{i(c^m) - i(c)}$. Note that only $k_0^\epsilon(c^m)$ may be non-zero for $m \geq 1$ by Definition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3. We call a completely non-degenerate prime closed geodesic c homologically invisible if $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, or homologically visible otherwise.

Lemma 5.2. Let c be a completely non-degenerate prime closed geodesic on a Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) . Then there exist a minimal integer $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $K(c^m) = K(c^{m+N})$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$. According to the classification in Section 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 1, & \text{if } c \text{ belongs to NCG-1;} \\ N &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ 2, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} & \text{if } c \text{ belongs to NCG-2 or NCG-5;} \\ N &= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} & \text{if } c \text{ belongs to NCG-3 or NCG-4.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In fact, N depends only on the parity of $i(c^m) - i(c)$ for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ by Proposition 2.3. More precisely,

$$N = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i(c^m) - i(c) \text{ is even for any } m \in \mathbf{N}, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the classification of Section 4, we have the following details. In NCG-1, $i(c^m) - i(c)$ is even. In NCG-2 and NCG-3, $i(c^m) - i(c) = (m-1)(p-k) \bmod 2$. In NCG-4, $i(c^m) - i(c) = (m-1)(p-1) \bmod 2$. In NCG-5, $i(c^m) - i(c) = (m-1)p$. Therefore Lemma 5.2 follows. $\blacksquare$

Suppose that there exist only finitely many completely non-degenerate prime closed geodesics $\{c_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ on a bumpy Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) . The *Morse series* $M(t)$ of the energy functional E on the space $(\Lambda S^n / S^1, \Lambda^0 S^n / S^1)$ is defined by

$$M(t) = \sum_{\substack{q \geq 0, m \neq 0 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} \dim \overline{C}_q(E, c_j^m) t^q.$$

Then it yields a formal power series $Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i t^i$ with nonnegative integer coefficients q_i such that

$$M(t) = P(\Lambda S^n / S^1, \Lambda^0 S^n / S^1)(t) + (1+t)Q(t). \quad (5.2)$$

For a formal power series $R(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i t^i$, we denote by $R^n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i t^i$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the corresponding truncated polynomials. Using this notation, (5.2) becomes

$$(-1)^m q_m = M^m(-1) - P^m(-1) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (5.3)$$

By Satz 7.8 of [Rad2] we have specially for spheres:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} P^m(\Lambda S^n / S^1, \Lambda^0 S^n / S^1)(-1) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

A general version of the following mean index identity was proved in Theorem 3 in [Rad1] and [Rad2] of H.-B. Rademacher. Our following theorem gives more precise coefficients in the identity than those in [Rad1] and [Rad2]. This more precise information is crucial in the proof of our main Theorem 1.2 later.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that there exist only finitely many homologically visible prime closed geodesics $\{c_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ on a bumpy Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) with $\hat{i}(c_j) > 0$. Then the following identity holds*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq N_j} (-1)^{i(c_j^m)} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) \frac{1}{N_j \hat{i}(c_j)} = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $N_j = N(c_j) \in \mathbf{N}$ is the number defined in Lemma 5.2 for c_j , $k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m)$ s are the critical type numbers of c_j^m , $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(c_j^m) = (-1)^{i(c_j^m) - i(c_j)}$.

Proof. Because $\dim \overline{C}_q(E, c_j^m)$ can be non-zero only for $q = i(c_j^m)$ by Proposition 2.1, the formal Poincaré series $M(t)$ becomes

$$M(t) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, m \geq 1} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) t^{i(c_j^m)} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq N_j, s \geq 0} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) t^{i(c_j^m) + s N_j}, \quad (5.6)$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.2. Write $M(t) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} w_h t^h$. Then we have

$$w_h = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq N_j} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) = h\}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\#A$ denotes the total number of elements in a set A .

Claim 1. $\{w_h\}_{h \geq 0}$ is bounded.

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) = h\} \\ &= \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) = h, |i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) - (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j)| \leq n - 1\} \\ &\leq \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid |h - (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j)| \leq n - 1\} \\ &= \# \left\{ s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid \frac{h - n + 1 - m\hat{i}(c_j)}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} \leq s \leq \frac{h + n - 1 - m\hat{i}(c_j)}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2(n - 1)}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the fact $|i(c^m) - m\hat{i}(c)| \leq n - 1$ (cf. Theorem 1.4 on p. 69 of [Rad1]). Hence Claim 1 holds.

Next we estimate $M^n(-1)$. By (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^r(-1) &= \sum_{h=0}^r w_h (-1)^h \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq N_j} (-1)^{i(c_j^m)} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq r\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Claim 2. There is a real constant $C > 0$ independent of r , but depending on c_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$ such that

$$\left| M^r(-1) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq N_j} (-1)^{i(c_j^m)} k_0^\epsilon(c_j^m) \frac{r}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} \right| \leq C. \quad (5.9)$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq r\} \\ &= \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq r, |i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) - (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j)| \leq n - 1\} \\ &\leq \# \{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid 0 \leq (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j) \leq r + n - 1\} \\ &= \# \left\{ s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid 0 \leq s \leq \frac{r + n - 1 - m\hat{i}(c_j)}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{r + n - 1}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where the last inequality uses $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{m}{N_j} \leq 2$ by the definition of N_j and $1 \leq m \leq N_j$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#\{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq r\} \\
&= \#\{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq r, |i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) - (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j)| \leq n - 1\} \\
&\geq \#\{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid i(c_j^{sN_j+m}) \leq (sN_j + m)\hat{i}(c_j) + (n - 1) \leq r\} \\
&\geq \#\left\{s \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid 0 \leq s \leq \frac{r - n + 1 - m\hat{i}(c_j)}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)}\right\} \\
&\geq \frac{r - n + 1}{N_j\hat{i}(c_j)} - 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where the last inequality uses $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{m}{N_j} \leq 2$ by the definition of N_j and $1 \leq m \leq N_j$.

By (5.10) and (5.11), we obtain (5.9).

Since the sequence $\{w_h\}$ is bounded and $w_r = b_r + q_r + q_{r-1}$. the sequence $\{q_h\}_{h \geq 0}$ of $Q(t)$ is bounded. Hence, by (5.3) we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} M^r(-1) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} P^r(-1) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

Hence (5.5) holds. ■

Remark 5.4. V. Bangert and Y. Long in [BaL1] as well as Y. Long and W. Wang in [LoW1] established such an mean index identity with exact coefficients on Finsler 2-spheres. For readers convenience, following ideas in [BaL1] and [LoW1] we give a complete proof for (S^n, F) here.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assuming the contrary, we prove Theorem 1.2 by contradiction. That is, assume the following condition in this section:

(F) There exists only one prime closed geodesic c on the bumpy Finsler $S^n = (S^n, F)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Under the assumption (F), the mean index of the closed geodesic c must satisfy $\hat{i}(c) > 0$.*

Proof. If $\hat{i}(c) = 0$, then we have $i(c^m) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$ (cf. Corollary 4.2 of [LLo1]). By Theorem 3.1, we have $b_{n-1} = 1$. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\overline{C}_0(E, c^m) = \mathbf{Q}, \quad \overline{C}_q(E, c^m) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have $0 = M_{n-1} \geq b_{n-1} = 1$ with $n \geq 2$, which implies $\hat{i}(c) > 0$. ■

Lemma 6.2. *Under the assumption (F), the index of the closed geodesic c must satisfy $i(c) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction, assume $i(c) > n - 1$. By Corollary 4.2 of [LLo1] (cf. (i) of Theorem 12.1.1 of [Lon2]), we have

$$i(c^m) \geq i(c), \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (6.1)$$

Hence $i(c^m) > n - 1$ for all $m \geq 1$. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\overline{C}_q(E, c^m) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \in [0, n - 1] \cap \mathbf{N}_0.$$

Hence $\overline{C}_{n-1}(E, c^m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, which implies $M_{n-1} = 0$. By Theorem 3.2, we have $0 = M_{n-1} \geq b_{n-1} = 1$, which is a contradiction. So we have $i(c) \leq n - 1$. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 6.3. *Under the assumption (F), the index of the closed geodesic c must satisfy*

$$i(c) \geq n - 1,$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) *When n is even, $i(c)$ is odd and the Morse-type numbers $M_{2k} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$;*
- (ii) *When n is odd, $i(c)$ is even and the Morse-type numbers $M_{2k-1} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose (i) is satisfied. Assume $i(c) < n - 1$. Then $i(c) = 2k_0 - 1 \leq n - 3$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. So the Morse-type number $M_{2k_0-1} \geq 1$ by Propositions 2.1 and 2.3. By (6.1) and $i(c) = 2k_0 - 1$, we obtain $M_{2k-1} = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq k_0 - 1$. But by (i) of Theorem 3.1, $b_k = 0$ for any $k < n - 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 and the condition (i), we have

$$-1 \geq -M_{2k_0-1} = M_{2k_0} - M_{2k_0-1} + \cdots - M_0 \geq b_{2k_0} - b_{2k_0-1} + \cdots - b_0 = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

which is a contradiction.

Suppose (ii) is satisfied. Assume $i(c) < n - 1$. Then $i(c) = 2k_0 \leq n - 3$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. So the Morse-type number $M_{2k_0} \geq 1$ by Propositions 2.1 and 2.3, and $b_k = 0$ for any $k < n - 1$ by (i) of Theorem 3.1. Therefore, similarly to (6.2), by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$-1 \geq -M_{2k_0} = M_{2k_0+1} - M_{2k_0} + \cdots - M_0 \geq b_{2k_0+1} - b_{2k_0} + \cdots - b_0 = 0, \quad (6.3)$$

which is a contradiction. The Lemma 6.3 is proved. $\blacksquare$

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 6.4. *Under the conditions (F), and (i) or (ii) of Lemma 6.3, the index of the closed geodesic c must satisfy $i(c) = n - 1$.*

By Theorem 3.1, the first appearance of the Betti number b_q which takes the value 2 is when

$$q = \begin{cases} 3(n - 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2(n - 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

To study Case 1 in Step 1 and Case 1 in Step 2 in our proof of Theorem 1.2 below, a basic idea is that we want to find a contradiction in both Cases by Morse inequality before the Betti number b_q reaching the value 2, i.e., when

$$q \leq \begin{cases} 3(n-1) - 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2(n-1) - 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we construct the following sets

$$\Theta(n) = \begin{cases} \{j \in 2\mathbf{N} - 1 \mid n-1 \leq j \leq 3n-5\}, & \text{when } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{j \in 2\mathbf{N} \mid n-1 \leq j \leq 2n-4\}, & \text{when } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

Lemma 6.5. *Assume the conditions (F), and (i) or (ii) of Lemma 6.3 hold. Suppose $\frac{i(c^m) - i(c)}{2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ for all m . Then for every $n-1+2k \in \Theta(n)$, there exists a unique iteration c^{k+1} of c such that*

$$i(c^{k+1}) = n-1+2k. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. By Corollary 6.4, $i(c) = n-1$. So (6.5) holds when $k=0$. Assume that there exists another iteration c^{m_0} such that $i(c^{m_0}) = i(c) = n-1$, by Proposition 2.1 and $i(c^m) - i(c) \in 2\mathbf{N}_0$ for all m , it yields $M_{n-1} \geq 2$ and $M_r = 0$ for any $r < n-1$ by (6.1). On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1, $b_{n-1} = 1$ and $b_n = b_r = 0$ for any $r < n-1$. Noting that $M_n = 0$ by the condition (i) or (ii), by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$-2 \geq M_n - M_{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n M_0 \geq b_n - b_{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n b_0 = -1,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus Lemma 6.5 holds for $k=0$.

By induction, we assume that Lemma 6.5 holds for all $k \leq \hat{k}$, where $1 \leq \hat{k} < \max \Theta(n)$, i.e., there exists a unique iteration c^{k+1} such that (6.5) holds for all $k \leq \hat{k}$. Hence we have

$$M_q = 1 \quad \text{for } q \in \hat{\Theta}(n) \equiv \{n-1+2t \mid t \in [0, \hat{k}] \cap \mathbf{N}_0\} \subset \Theta(n), \quad (6.6)$$

by Proposition 2.1 and $i(c^m) - i(c) \in 2\mathbf{N}_0$ for all m .

Firstly, we prove that $i(c^{\hat{k}+2}) = n-1+2(\hat{k}+1)$. By the condition $\frac{i(c^m) - i(c)}{2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ for all m , it yields $i(c^{\hat{k}+2}) = n-1+2t, t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \hat{k}+1\}$. If $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \hat{k}\}$, then there must exist some $s \in [0, \hat{k}] \cap \mathbf{N}_0$ such that $i(c^{\hat{k}+2}) = i(c^{s+1}) = n-1+2s$, which contradicts to the uniqueness of c^{s+1} . So the only possibility is $i(c^{\hat{k}+2}) = n-1+2(\hat{k}+1)$.

On the other hand, assume that there exists another iteration c^{m_0} such that $i(c^{m_0}) = i(c^{\hat{k}+2}) = n-1+2(\hat{k}+1) \equiv \kappa \in \Theta(n)$. Then it yields $M_\kappa \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.1 and $i(c^m) - i(c) \in 2\mathbf{N}_0$ for all m . Note that for $l \in [0, \kappa-1] \setminus \hat{\Theta}(n)$, there holds $M_{\kappa+1} = M_l = 0$ by the condition (i) or

(ii). Therefore among all the M_l 's with $l < \kappa$, half of them are zero, and half of them are 1. By Theorem 3.1, $b_{\kappa+1} = b_l = 0$ for the same l mentioned above and $b_\kappa = b_q = 1$ for q in (6.6). Note that $\kappa - n \in (2\mathbf{Z} + 1)$ by the definition of κ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\left(2 + \frac{\kappa - n + 1}{2}\right) &\geq M_{\kappa+1} - M_\kappa + M_{\kappa-1} + \cdots - M_{n-1} \\ &\geq b_{\kappa+1} - b_\kappa - b_{\kappa-1} + \cdots - b_{n-1} \\ &= -(1 + \frac{\kappa - n + 1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore Lemma 6.5 holds for $k = \hat{k} + 1$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Now we can give

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We carry out the proof in two steps under the assumption (F) on (S^n, F) .

Step 1. When n is even, we claim that there must be another prime closed geodesic.

We study the problem in five cases according to our classification in Section 4.

Case 1. c belongs to NCG-1.

In this case, $i(c^m)$ is odd and $i(c^m) - i(c)$ is even for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^m) = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. By Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3, we have $-\frac{1}{i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, i.e.,

$$2p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2r-1} \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \hat{i}(c) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n} < 2. \quad (6.7)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 and the oddness of $i(c^m)$, we have the Morse-type numbers

$$M_k = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in 2\mathbf{N}. \quad (6.8)$$

Hence, by Corollary 6.4, $2p + n - 2r - 1 = i(c) = n - 1$, which yields $p = r \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Together with (6.7), it yields $r = p = 0$. So in this case, we have

$$i(c) = n - 1, \quad i(c^m) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] + n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{i}(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n} < 2. \quad (6.9)$$

Note that, by (6.9), for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, there holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} = \frac{m(n-1)}{n} < m. \quad (6.10)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Claim 1: For any $m \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbf{N}$, there holds $i(c^m) = 2(m-1) + n - 1$.

In fact, we have $i(c) = n - 1$ by (6.9). By induction, assume that $i(c^k) = 2(k - 1) + n - 1$ for some $k \in [1, n - 2] \cap \mathbf{N}$. By (6.9) and (6.11), for $k + 1$ we have

$$i(c^{k+1}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(k+1)\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rfloor + n - 1 \in \{n - 1 + 2s \mid s \in [0, k] \cap \mathbf{N}_0\}. \quad (6.12)$$

Note that the conditions of Lemma 6.5 are satisfied by (6.8), (6.9) and (6.11). If $i(c^{k+1}) \leq n - 1 + 2(k - 1)$, then $i(c^{k+1}) = i(c^r)$ for some $r \in [1, k] \cap \mathbf{N}$, which yields a contradiction to Lemma 6.5. So the only possibility is $i(c^{k+1}) = 2k + n - 1$. Claim 1 is proved.

Next we consider $i(c^n)$. By (6.10), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{n\theta_i}{2\pi} = \frac{n(n-1)}{n} = n - 1. \quad (6.13)$$

Noting that $\{\frac{n\theta_i}{2\pi}\}$ is an irrational number sequence, by (6.13) it yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rfloor \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2\}. \quad (6.14)$$

Hence

$$i(c^n) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n\theta_i}{2\pi} \right\rfloor + n - 1 \in \{n - 1 + 2s \mid s \in [0, n - 2] \cap \mathbf{N}_0\}. \quad (6.15)$$

Therefore, by Claim 1 and (6.15), there must exists some $r \in [1, n - 1] \cap \mathbf{N}$ such that $i(c^n) = i(c^r)$, which is also a contradiction to Lemma 6.5.

Case 2. c belongs to NCG-2.

Subcase 2.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, we have $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^m)$ is even for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. Thus, by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Subcase 2.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and

$$i(c^m) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{even,} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \text{odd,} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n}{n-1}$, which implies

$$\hat{i}(c) = p - k + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{n-1}{n} < 1. \quad (6.17)$$

On the other hand, by (6.16) and Proposition 2.1, we have the Morse-type numbers $M_k = 0$ for any $k \in 2\mathbf{N}$. So by Corollary 6.4, we have $i(c) = p = n - 1$. Hence by (6.17), it yields $n - 2 < k$. This yields a contradiction to $k \leq n - 2r - 2$ in the definition of NCG-2.

Case 3. c belongs to NCG-3.

Subcase 3.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, we obtain $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is odd. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{2i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Subcase 3.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, we obtain $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^m)$ is odd for any m . Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $-\frac{1}{i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, which implies

$$\hat{i}(c) = p - k + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n} < 2. \quad (6.18)$$

Noting that $p - k$ is even, this yields $p \leq k$. Because the Morse-type numbers $M_k = 0$ holds for any $k \in 2\mathbf{N}$ by Proposition 2.1, by Corollary 6.4 we have $i(c) = p = n - 1$. Hence $n - 1 = p \leq k$. This yields a contradiction to $k \leq n - 2r - 2$ in the definition of NCG-3.

Case 4. c belongs to NCG-4.

Subcase 4.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is odd. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{2i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Subcase 4.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^m)$ is odd for all m . Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. Thus by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}$. But in this case $\hat{i}(c) = (p - 1) + \frac{\theta_1}{\pi}$ is an irrational number. This leads to a contradiction.

Case 5. c belongs to NCG-5.

Subcase 5.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^m)$ is even for all m . Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. So by Theorem 5.3, there holds $\frac{1}{i(c)} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Subcase 5.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, we obtain $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is even. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. Thus by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n}{n-1}$. Hence $\hat{i}(c) = p = \frac{n-1}{n}$, which yields a contradiction because $p \in \mathbf{N}_0$.

Therefore when n is even, we have proved that there must exist another prime closed geodesic on the bumpy Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) .

Step 2. When n is odd, we claim that there must be another prime closed geodesic.

We continue our study in five cases according to the classification in Section 4.

Case 1. c belongs to NCG-1.

In this case, $i(c^m)$ is even for all m . Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. By Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3, we have $\frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}$, i.e.,

$$2p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2r-1} \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \hat{i}(c) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+1} < 2. \quad (6.19)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 and the evenness of $i(c^m)$, we have the Morse-type numbers

$$M_k = 0 \quad \forall k \in 2\mathbf{N} - 1. \quad (6.20)$$

Thus by Corollary 6.4, we have $2p + n - 2r - 1 = i(c) = n - 1$, which implies $p = r \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Together with (6.19), it yields $r = p = 0$. Hence in this case, we have

$$i(c) = n - 1, \quad i(c^m) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] + n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{i}(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+1} < 2. \quad (6.21)$$

Note that, by (6.21), for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ there holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} = \frac{m(n-1)}{n+1} < m. \quad (6.22)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}. \quad (6.23)$$

Let

$$m_1 = \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad m_2 = \frac{n+1}{2}. \quad (6.24)$$

Claim 1: For any $m \in [1, m_1] \cap \mathbf{N}$, there holds $i(c^m) = 2(m-1) + n - 1$.

In fact, we have $i(c) = n - 1$. By induction, assume that $i(c^k) = 2(k-1) + n - 1$ for some $k \in [1, m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbf{N}$. By (6.21) and (6.23), for $k+1$ we have

$$i(c^{k+1}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{(k+1)\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] + n - 1 \in \{n - 1 + 2s \mid s \in [0, k] \cap \mathbf{N}_0\}. \quad (6.25)$$

Note that the conditions of Lemma 6.5 are satisfied by (6.20), (6.21) and (6.23). If $i(c^{k+1}) \leq n - 1 + 2(k-1)$, then $i(c^{k+1}) = i(c^r)$ holds for some $r \in [1, k] \cap \mathbf{N}$, which yields a contradiction to Lemma 6.5. Therefore the only possibility is $i(c^{k+1}) = 2k + n - 1$, which proves Claim 1.

Next we consider $i(c^{m_2})$. By (6.22) and (6.24), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{m_2\theta_i}{2\pi} = \frac{(n+1)(n-1)}{2(n+1)} = \frac{n-1}{2}. \quad (6.26)$$

Noting that $\{\frac{m_2\theta_i}{2\pi}\}$ is an irrational number sequence, by (6.26) it yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m_2\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2} - 1\}. \quad (6.27)$$

Hence

$$i(c^{m_2}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m_2\theta_i}{2\pi} \right] + n - 1 \in \{n - 1 + 2s \mid s \in [0, \frac{n-1}{2} - 1] \cap \mathbf{N}_0\}. \quad (6.28)$$

Therefore, by Claim 1 and (6.28), there must exist some $r \in [1, m_1] \cap \mathbf{N}$ such that $i(c^{m_2}) = i(c^r)$, which is also a contradiction to Lemma 6.5.

Case 2. c belongs to NCG-2.

Subcase 2.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^m)$ is even for all m . Hence $\epsilon = 1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^m) = 1$ by Proposition 2.3. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}$, which implies

$$\hat{i}(c) = p - k + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+1} < 2. \quad (6.29)$$

Noting that $p - k$ is even, this yields $p \leq k$. Because the Morse-type numbers $M_k = 0$ for all $k \in 2\mathbf{N} - 1$, by Corollary 6.4 we have $i(c) = p = n - 1$. Hence $n - 1 = p \leq k$. This contradicts to $k \leq n - 2r - 2$ by the definition of NCG-2.

Subcase 2.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, we have $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is even. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $-\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}$, which yields a contradiction.

Case 3. c belongs to NCG-3.

Subcase 3.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and

$$i(c^m) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{even,} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{odd,} & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (6.30)$$

Therefore by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}$, which implies

$$\hat{i}(c) = p - k + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\theta_i}{\pi} = \frac{n-1}{n+1} < 1. \quad (6.31)$$

By (6.30) and Proposition 2.1, we have the Morse-type numbers $M_k = 0$ for all $k \in 2\mathbf{N} - 1$. Thus by Corollary 6.4, we have $i(c) = p = n - 1$. Hence, by (6.31), it yields $n - 2 < k$. This is a contradiction to $k \leq n - 2r - 2$ by the definition of NCG-3.

Subcase 3.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2. So, by Theorem 5.3, it yields $-\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Case 4. c belongs to NCG-4.

Subcase 4.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is odd. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}$. But in this case $\hat{i}(c) = (p - 1) + \frac{\theta_1}{\pi}$ is an irrational number. This leads to a contradiction.

Subcase 4.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, we have $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2. Thus by Theorem 5.3, we have $-\frac{1}{\hat{i}(c)} = \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

Case 5. c belongs to NCG-5.

Subcase 5.1. If $i(c) = p$ is even, $N = 1$ by Lemma 5.2. So by Theorem 5.3, it yields $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}$. Hence we have

$$1 > \frac{n-1}{n+1} = \frac{p}{2} \geq 1, \quad (6.32)$$

which is a contradiction.

Subcase 5.2. If $i(c) = p$ is odd, $N = 2$ by Lemma 5.2 and $i(c^2)$ is even. Hence $\epsilon = \epsilon(c^2) = -1$ and $k_0^\epsilon(c^2) = 0$. Thus by Theorem 5.3, there holds $-\frac{1}{i(c)} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}$, which yields a contradiction by Lemma 6.1.

So when n is odd, there must exist another prime closed geodesic on the bumpy Finsler n -sphere (S^n, F) .

The Steps 1 and 2 complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors sincerely thank the referee for his/her valuable comments on this paper.

References

- [BTZ1] W. Ballmann, G. Thorbergsson, and W. Ziller, Closed geodesics on positively curved manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* 116 (1982) 213-247.
- [BTZ2] W. Ballmann, G. Thorbergsson, and W. Ziller, Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 18 (1983) 221-252.
- [BCS1] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, An introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry. Springer. Berlin. 2000.
- [Ban1] V. Bangert, Closed geodesics on complete surfaces. *Math. Ann.* 251 (1980) 83-96.
- [Ban2] V. Bangert, Geodatische Linien auf Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Jber. D. Dt. Math. Verein.* 87 (1985) 39-66.
- [Ban3] V. Bangert, On the existence of closed geodesics on two-spheres, *Inter. of J. Math.*, 4 (1993) 1-10.
- [BaK1] V. Bangert and W. Klingenberg, Homology generated by iterated of closed geodesics. *Topology.* 22 (1983) 379-388.
- [BaL1] V. Bangert and Y. Long, The existence of two closed geodesics on every Finsler 2-sphere. (2005) Preprint.

- [BRS1] D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, Zermelo navigation on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 66 (2004) 377-435.
- [Bor1] A. Borel, Seminar on Transformation Groups. Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton. 1960.
- [Bre1] G. E. Bredon, Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups. Academic Press. New York. 1972.
- [Cha1] K. C. Chang, Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems. Birkhäuser. Boston. 1993.
- [Fet1] A. I. Fet, A periodic problem in the calculus of variations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 160 (1965) 287-289.
- [Fra1] J. Franks, Geodesics on S^2 and periodic points of annulus diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992) 403-418.
- [GrM1] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, On differentiable functions with isolated critical points. *Topology.* 8 (1969) 361-369.
- [GrM2] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, Periodic geodesics on compact Riemannian manifolds *J. Diff. Geod.* 3 (1969) 493-510.
- [Hin1] N. Hingston, Equivariant Morse theory and closed geodesics. *J. Diff. Geod.* 19 (1984) 85-116.
- [HWZ1] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, Finite energy foliations of tight three-spheres and Hamiltonian dynamics. *Ann. of Math.* 157 (2003) 125-257.
- [Kli1] W. Klingenberg, Closed geodesics. *Ann. of Math.* 89 (1969) 68-91.
- [Kli2] W. Klingenberg, Lectures on Closed Geodesics. Springer. Berlin. 1978.
- [Kli3] W. Klingenberg, Riemannian Geometry, Walter de Gruyter. Berlin. 1st ed. 1982, 2nd ed, 1995.
- [LLo1] C. Liu and Y. Long, Iterated index formulae for closed geodesics with applications. *Science in China.* 45 (2002) 9-28.
- [Lon1] Y. Long, Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics. *Advances in Math.* 154 (2000), 76-131.

- [Lon2] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications. Progress in Math. 207, Birkhäuser. Basel. 2002.
- [Lon3] Y. Long, Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 8 (2006) 341-353.
- [LoW1] Y. Long and W. Wang, Stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. (2005) Preprint.
- [LyF1] L. A. Lyusternik and A. I. Fet, Variational problems on closed manifolds. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 81 (1951) 17-18 (in Russian).
- [MaW1] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems. Springer. New York. 1989.
- [Mer1] F. Mercuri, The critical point theory for the closed geodesic problem. *Math. Z.* 156 (1977), 231-245.
- [Rad1] H.-B. Rademacher, On the average indices of closed geodesics. *J. Diff. Geom.* 29 (1989), 65-83.
- [Rad2] H.-B. Rademacher, Morse Theorie und geschlossene Geodatische. *Bonner Math. Schriften* Nr. 229 (1992).
- [Rad3] H.-B. Rademacher, Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler manifolds. (2005) Preprint.
- [Rob1] C. Robles, Geodesics in Randers spaces of constant curvature. (2005) Preprint.
- [She1] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler Geometry. World Scientific. Singapore. 2001.
- [Zil1] W. Ziller, Geometry of the Katok examples. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* 3(1982) 135-157.